UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

STERLING D. BROWN,

Plaintiff,

-vs- CASE NO.: 18-CV-922

CITY OF MILWAUKEE, CITY OF MILWAUKEE CHIEF OF POLICE ALFONSO MORALES, in his Official capacity, SERGEANT SEAN A. MAHNKE, SERGEANT JEFFREY S. KRUEGER, OFFICER JOSEPH J. GRAMS, OFFICER BOJAN SAMARDZIC, OFFICER JAMES P. COLLINS, OFFICER CRISTOBAL MARTINEZ AVILA, OFFICER ERIK A. ANDRADE and OFFICER JASON P. JENSEN,

Defendants.

Video Examination of JOSEPH J. GRAMS, taken at the instance of the Plaintiff, under and pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, pursuant to Notice of Deposition, before SHERYL L. STAWSKI, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public, in and for the State of Wisconsin, taken at the Office of the City Attorney, 841 North Broadway, 7th Floor, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, on the 30th day of May, 2019, commencing at 1:14 p.m. and concluding at 6:02 p.m.

1	APPEARANCES		
2			
3	GINGRAS, CATES & WACHS, S.C., by MR. MARK L. THOMSEN and MR. SCOTT B. THOMPSON		
4	219 North Milwaukee Street Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53202		
5	Appeared on behalf of the Plaintiff.		
6	CITY OF MILWAUKEE, OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY, by		
7	MS. NAOMI E. GEHLING 841 North Broadway, 7th Floor		
8	Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53202 Appeared on behalf of the Defendants.		
9	waa peraruru waa ayaabaa'a waalaa widaaaa		
10	ALSO PRESENT: Ms. Stephanie M. Olson, Videogra Brown & Jones Reporting.	pner,	
11	EXAMINATION BY:	P	AGE
12	MR. THOMSEN		4
13			
14	INDEX		
15		MARKED	ID
16	Exhibits:	MARKED	
17	Exhibit 97 - Incident Reports Exhibit 98 - Preparatory Law Enforcement	45	46
18	Officer Training Transcript for Joseph Grams, 12-7-15	50	51
19	Exhibit 99 - List of Training Records Exhibit 100 - Draft of Report	57	58 91
20	Exhibit 100 - Braft of Report	92	92 100
21	Exhibit 103 - Memo by Sergeant K. Maimin		102
22	Exhibit 104 - Document Authored by Lieutenant Stein		128 148
23	Exhibit 105 - Memo Exhibit 106 - Collection of Documents		151
24			
25			

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are officially on
3	the record at 1:14 p m. Today's date is May 30th,
4	2019.
5	This is disk number one in the
6	deposition of Joseph Grams. This deposition is
7	being taken in the matter of Brown versus the City
8	of Milwaukee.
9	This matter is pending in the United
10	States District Court in the Eastern District of
11	Wisconsin. Case Number is 2:18-CV-922.
12	This deposition is taking place at the
13	Office of the City Attorney, located at 841 North
14	Broadway, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53202.
15	My name is Stephanie Olson,
16	videographer. The court reporter is Sheryl
17	Stawski.
18	Will counsel please state their
19	appearances and whom they represent beginning with
20	plaintiff's counsel, and then the reporter will
21	swear in the witness.
22	MR. THOMSEN: Mark Thomsen and Scott
23	Thompson appearing on behalf of Mr. Brown.
24	MS. GEHLING: Assistant City Attorney
25	Naomi Gehling on behalf of all defendants.

1 rights and officers wouldn't act that way? 2 MS. GEHLING: Objection; argumentative, 3 foundation, calls for speculation. To the extent that you can, answer it. 4 BY MR. THOMSEN: 5 6 0 Answer my question. 7 Α What's the question? MR. THOMSEN: She'll read it back 8 9 example. (The question was read.) 10 11 MS. GEHLING: Same objections. 12 THE WITNESS: I would say it's a 13 reasonable request on my part. He didn't do so. 14 BY MR. THOMSEN: 15 Q I got to page 4, and this is the first time -- I 16 see you said, in quotes, at this time Brown was 17 within arm's reach which caused me concern for my 18 safety. Did I read that correctly? I'm sorry, I'm trying to find that. The last --19 20 it's on the last page. It's on page 4 of the second paragraph, first line 21 Q 22 in the second paragraph. 23 Okay. At this time Brown was within arm's reach 24 which caused me concern for my safety, yes. 25 That's the first time I saw that there was ever a Q

1 reference for your safety. 2 Okay. Α 3 Am I correct? Q I don't know what you see in this report. I mean, 4 5 if that's the way you interpret it, that's your interpretation. I can't tell you what you see. 6 7 How many drafts of this report were done before Q this one was actually printed out? 8 9 MS. GEHLING: Objection; foundation. THE WITNESS: I don't know. T don't 10 remember. 11 12 BY MR. THOMSEN: who reviewed your drafts before they -- it ended up 13 Q as what's documented now in Exhibit 97? 14 15 I think the only person that -- me, that I know of? Α 16 Yeah. Q 17 would be Sergeant Cashaw. He was the sergeant. Α He 18 was the lieutenant, they call, in the chair. He was in charge of the district. 19 20 And what suggestions did he make to you in terms of Q 21 adding to your report? 22 MS. GEHLING: Objection; foundation. THE WITNESS: I don't remember that. 23 24 I -- I would know that he read it, but I don't 25 remember anything like that.

1 Because of his aggressive body language and actions, I felt Brown wanted to get into his 2 3 vehicle and flee. Because of this, I called for another squad to my location. 4 BY MR. THOMSEN: 5 Well, in the official final draft, Exhibit 97, you 6 0 7 had added, in quotes, was within arm's reach which caused me concern for my safety, right? And that's 8 9 nowhere listed in Exhibit 101, right? MS. GEHLING: Objection; argumentative. 10 THE WITNESS: No, that's not listed in 11 12 I would say it's referred to as -- because 13 of his aggressive body language and actions that I 14 felt Brown wanted to get into his vehicle and flee 15 because he was within 20 -- arm's reach or 16 It states it right there in that 24 inches. 17 sentence. But the exact distance of 24 inches, no. 18 BY MR. THOMSEN: 19 You stopped to use the restroom, correct? 0 20 Uh-huh. Α 21 Yes? Q That's correct. 22 Α 23 Your body cam reports that you told officers on the Q 24 scene you wanted to take a piss. That's correct. 25 Α

1	(Above questions were read.)
2	BY MR. THOMSEN:
3	Q And that's let's start this. What did they tell
4	you was inappropriate about your contact with
5	Mr. Brown?
6	MS. GEHLING: Objection; argumentative
7	and assumes testimony not given at this deposition.
8	Please answer.
9	THE WITNESS: At that training, I don't
10	think they said I did anything wrong. We talked
11	about what happened on the body camera. What
12	happened at that scene. But I don't believe let
13	me finish but I don't believe they ever said I
14	did anything wrong. I'm the officer on the scene.
15	I handled it the way I handled it. And I don't
16	think I did anything wrong.
17	BY MR. THOMSEN:
18	Q What did they what was the discussion about your
19	body cam about what whether you recall them
20	saying wrong or not, please tell please tell the
21	jury, what was the discussion that took place at
22	this training about your conduct, sir?
23	MS. GEHLING: Objection; asked and
24	answered. You can answer it again.
25	THE WITNESS: I really don't have

1 THE WITNESS: They didn't say it was 2 inappropriate. To my recollection, they did not 3 say it was inappropriate, and I don't feel it was 4 inappropriate. 5 I thought it was effective because he 6 didn't get into his car. He didn't get past me, 7 and that was the intent; not to get -- not to let 8 him get past me because he could have been a 9 fleeing felon. 10 BY MR. THOMSEN: 11 what do you mean he could have been "a fleeing 0 felon"? 12 13 Α Sure. 14 Q Tell me. 15 well, my thought was that when he was coming out, Α 16 was that, hey, we have a situation; could be an 17 armed robbery. The car is, as we described before, 18 positioned for a quick exit. It's the only car in the whole lot; positioned for a quick exit out of 19 20 the parking lot; so a perfect armed robbery car. 21 The car was running. There was a 22 lookout in the car, and it's positioned to flee 23 directly out the parking lot. 24 So at that time until we investigated 25 further, I couldn't let him pass into his car

1		because there could have been dead people in the
2		Walgreens until we verified that; so it worked.
3		Stalled him until other squads could get there.
4	Q	When did you first tell any human being that you
5		said it could have been dead people in the
6		Walgreens?
7	Α	What's that?
8	Q	When is the first time you told anybody that there
9		could have been dead people in the Walgreens?
10	Α	I think just now. I don't remember at the
11		scene, you mean? I don't think there was anybody I
12		told that to. I don't recall that anyway.
13	Q	What did they tell you about your conduct in
14		pushing Mr. Brown?
15		MS. GEHLING: Objection; argumentative.
16	BY	MR. THOMSEN:
17	Q	Let's be very clear. At the remedial training,
18		what did they tell you about your pushing
19		Mr. Brown?
20	Α	Thank you.
21		MS. GEHLING: Objection; argumentative.
22		Please answer.
23		THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, it should
24		have been more forceful because in that training
25		I mean, I tried to keep it from escalating; so I

1		just pushed him with my fingers.
2		That training shows that you strike the
3		person straight up in the chest very forcefully to
4		actually move them back. I didn't do that. I
5		wanted to do try and keep it as low-key as
6		possible; so that's what I should have done, and I
7		didn't do it. I didn't strike him like that, which
8		I should have by the book.
9	BY	MR. THOMSEN:
10	Q	Did
11	А	Uh-huh.
12	Q	Who told you that at the remedial training, that
13		you should have shoved him?
14	А	Well, I don't know if anybody I don't know if
15		anybody told me that, but that's what the DAT book
16		says.
17	Q	My question, sir, was, what did they tell you at
18		the remedial training about your contact with
19		Mr. Brown?
20	А	I'm not sure if they addressed that or how they
21		addressed it.
22	Q	What else are you going to tell the jury occurred
23		at this remedial training?
24	А	That's what I remember.
25	Q	You said that you had talked to Officer Andrade,

1	was out of my hands.
2	Q Lieutenant Stein writes, in quotes, Police Officer
3	Grams displayed resistive and dismissive behavior
4	throughout the remedial regarding the ProComm
5	concepts Police Officer Anderson laid out.
6	What were you dismissing about what
7	Officer Anderson pointed out?
8	MS. GEHLING: Objection; foundation,
9	calls for speculation.
10	THE WITNESS: I don't think I was
11	dismissive about it. I just didn't agree with him.
12	BY MR. THOMSEN:
13	Q What didn't you agree with?
14	A That he was saying I should have stepped back
15	and let him get in the car, and I didn't agree with
16	that; so that's his opinion.
17	Q And the purpose of the remedial training was to try
18	to have you understand that Mr. Brown has
19	constitutional rights, correct?
20	MS. GEHLING: Objection; argumentative,
21	also foundation, calls for speculation.
22	THE WITNESS: I don't know that.
23	Again, we were there reviewing the body cams.
24	BY MR. THOMSEN:
25	Q Tell me about your ever-changing explanations for

1 At the session. I don't remember it, but I'm sure Α 2 that we played it because they played all the 3 I'm sure they were there; so go ahead. And I've seen it since then, as well, 4 5 in our office; so whether it was played at the training or in her office, I've seen it; so go 6 7 ahead. 8 Q You're pointing to your lawyer. You watched it in her office? 9 10 Right, we reviewed it. 11 when did you watch it in her office? 0 12 A couple -- a couple weeks ago, I think. I don't I don't have the exact date. 13 14 Now, I want to go back to the remedial training Q 15 when they were talking about your approach to 16 Mr. Brown. Are you with me? 17 I'm with you. Α 18 Where did they stop the video? 0 I have no idea. 19 Α 20 How much did they run before they stopped it? 0 21 I have no idea. I have no idea. Α 22 0 Did they stop it before or after you say "I own this"? 23 24 I have no idea when they stopped that video if, in 25 fact, they even played it then, but --